

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,738	12/08/2000	William Peter Van Antwerp	G&C 130.9-US-U1	4789
22.102	7590 08/13/2002		EXAMI	NER
GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050			LUKTON, DAVID	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	-
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002	D

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/733,738

Van Antwerp

Examiner

David Lukton

Art Unit 1653

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered unless. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 1981. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH 2014. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If NO period for reply will be apply and the period for reply will, by statutory period to reply will be apply and the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If NO period for reply will be apply application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If NO period for reply will be apply application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If NO period for reply will be apply application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If NO period for reply will be applied to the period for reply will be a					
·	·				
Status 1) Apr 12, 2001 Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 12, 2001					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	is				
2a) \(\subseteq \text{ This action is FiNAL.} \) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under \(Ex \) parte \(Quayle, 1935 \) C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application	tion.				
Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-24 is/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.				
4) Xi Claim(s) 1-24 is/are withdrawn from cons 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are allowed.					
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s)					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	quirement.				
7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election re					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed onis. a/_ dp/sets s/					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this office descent					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	\				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
*See the attached detailed office action for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority and application has been received.					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (170-052) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Statement (s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Serial No. 09/733,738 Art Unit 1653

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- 1. Claims 1-3, drawn to compositions in which a "phenolic preservative" is absent.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 17, drawn to compositions in which a "phenolic preservative" is present.
- 3. Claim 18, drawn to a method of making a composition
- 4. Claims 19-24, drawn to a method of stabilizing a polypeptide.

Inventions 2 and 1 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. First, neither Group 1 nor Group 2 requires the inclusion of insulin; there are vast numbers of biologically active peptides which are biologically active and useful in the absence of phenol. Second, even if Groups 1 and 2 mandated the presence of insulin, the fact is that insulin can be used effectively without phenol.

Inventions 3 and 2 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

Serial No. 09/733,738 Art Unit 1653

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). First, Group 2 is not limited to insulin, whereas Group 3 is; second, while Group 3 currently describes a random mixing process, it may later be amended to describe a more specific order of mixing, or additional process steps which would require a further search. Nevertheless, in the event that (a) Group 2 is elected, (b) the polypeptide species is insulin and (c), the claims are found allowable, Group 3 will be rejoined therewith for further examination.

Groups 4 and 2 are neither related as process of making and product made nor as product and process of use. In the event that Group 2 is elected, there will be no requirement to rejoin Group 4. Nevertheless, in the event that Group 2 is elected, and claims therein found allowable, the issue of rejoining Group 4 may be revisited.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

米

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect various species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

(a) a specific peptide such as Asp B28 human insulin, Ser17- human *beta*-interferon, or bovine interleukin-2;

Serial No. 09/733,738 Art Unit 1653

- (b) a specific "buffering molecule" which absorbs CO2 but wich lacks a free amine group
- (c) zinc is present, or zinc is absent
- (d) an isotonicity agent is present or an isotonicity agent is absent; if present, what is the specific agent?
- (e) in the event that Group 1 is not chosen for examination, an election of a specific phenol is required (e.g., phenol per se, C₆H₅OH);

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention. Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton [phone number (703)308-3213].

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800